

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/796,050	03/10/2004	Shinji Matsushita	ASA-1173	4793	
7	7590 04/19/2006			EXAMINER	
MATTINGLY, STANGER & MALUR, P.C.			DUONG, THO V		
ATTORNEYS	AT LAW	•			
1800 DIAGONAL ROAD, SUITE 370			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDRIA VA 22314			2752		

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/796,050	MATSUSHITA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Tho v. Duong	3753			
The Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTE WHICHEV - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If NO period - Faillure to rep Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staticative by the Office later than three months after the maint term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ Resp	oonsive to communication(s) filed on <u>10</u>	March 2004.				
2a)∐ This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
. /—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
close	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of	Claims					
4a) C 5) ☐ Clain 6) ☐ Clain 7) ☐ Clain	n(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are withdom(s) is/are withdom(s) is/are allowed. n(s) is/are rejected. n(s) is/are objected to. n(s) <u>1-8</u> are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.				
Application Pa	apers		·			
10)□ The d Appli Repla	specification is objected to by the Examinatrawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accent may not request that any objection to the accement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponds or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the line drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) Notice of Dr 3) Information	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 //Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-5, drawn to a cooling module system, classified in class 165, subclass 80.4.
- II. Claims 6-8, drawn to a cooling jacket, classified in class 361, subclass 702.

 The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the cooling module as claimed does not require the stacked cylindrical fin of the cooling jacket. The subcombination has separate utility such as cooling an electronic component.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,050

Art Unit: 3753

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tho v. Duong whose telephone number is 571-272-4793. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (first Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Keasel Eric can be reached on 571-272-4929. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tho v Duong

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3753

1

TD

April 17, 2006